

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1019 OF 2006

Ramji Nandan Co.Op. Hsg. Soc. Ltd.] ..Petitioners

Vs.

1. State of Maharashtra & 2 ors.] ..Respondents

None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Jadhav, AGP, for respondent No.1.

Mr. G.D. Uttangale for respondent No.3.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO,
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED : 27TH APRIL, 2006

P.C.

. When the matter is called out, none present for the petitioner.

2. It is a case of the petitioner that they have terminated the contract with the developer and have appointed another developer and consequently to direct respondents to issue revised/revalidated letter in favour of the new developer.

3. On behalf of respondent No.3, learned counsel points out that till date, they have not received any communication from the petitioner. If the petitioner

sends a communication for change of developer, respondent No.3 would hear the petitioner, the new developer and the old developer and pass appropriate orders according to law, within eight weeks from receipt of the communication.

4. Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

Sd/-
[ANOO V. MOHTA, J.]